



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/001,588                 | 10/31/2001      | Lidong Zhou          | 10845-137               | 1944             |
| 26486                      | 7590 03/23/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| PERKINS, SMITH & COHEN LLP |                 |                      | SON, LINH L D           |                  |
| ONE BEACO                  | N STREET        |                      |                         |                  |
| 30TH FLOOR                 |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| BOSTON, MA                 | A 02108         |                      | 2135                    |                  |
|                            |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/22/2004 | •                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | $\mathcal{U}_{-}$  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|  | 10/001,588   | ZHOU ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
| The MAN INC DATE of this committee is a  | Linh Son   | 2135   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | lears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 October 2001</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine   | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicat<br>rity documents have been receive<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | ion No ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |
| Association (Control of Control o |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/02</u>.</li> </ul>   | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:   | Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/001,588 Page 2

Art Unit: 2135

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is responding to the application filed on 10/31/2001.

2. Claims 1-3 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Micall, US Patent No. 5717758.

As per claim 1, Micall teaches "A process for operating multiple certification server processors in a network providing certification services to client processors in communication with said network comprising the steps of: (a) receiving a request from a first of said client processors at a second of said certification server processors acting as a delegate (Col 7 lines 25-35, and Col 11 lines 13-22); (b) said delegate processing said client request and forwarding a corresponding server request to all certification server processors (Col 7 lines 35-45); (c) upon receiving responses from a quorum of

certification server processors, said delegate (1) constructing a response to said client (Col 7 lines 40-55); (2) invoking a threshold signature protocol to sign said client response; and (3) forwarding said signed client response to said first client processor (Col 12 lines 14-21).

As per claim 3, Micall teaches "The process of claim 1 wherein said server response include serially-numbered certificates and construction of said response to said client includes selection of the certificate with a highest serial number" in (Col 1 lines 55-60, and Col 14 lines 41-50).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Micall.
- 7. As per claim 2, Micall teaches "The process of claim 1 wherein the processing of step (b) comprises the construction of an update request including a new certification" in (Col 16 lines 1-20). Further, Micall also teaches the implementation of threshold signatures to sign the user's query. However Micall is silent on the processing of step (b) comprises the construction of an update request including a new certificate using

Application/Control Number: 10/001,588 Page 4

Art Unit: 2135

said threshold signature protocol to sign said certificate. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made for one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the threshold signature with an update request to ensure a high level of integrity and privacy.

## **Conclusion**

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Linh Son whose telephone number is (571)-271-3856.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Kim Y. Vu can be reached at (571)-272-3859. The fax numbers for this group are (703)-872-9306 (official fax). Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (571)-272-2100.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval IPAIR.I system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PMR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pzr-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/001,588

Art Unit: 2135

Page 5

AU 2135

Linh LD Son

**Patent Examiner**